

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/804,952 | 03/19/2004 | Patrick W. Tandy | MIO 0048 V2/40509.295 | 8084 |
| 23368 | 7590 11/21/2006 | | EXAM | INER |
| DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP | | | TRINH, MINH N | |
| ONE DAYTON CENTRE, ONE SOUTH MAIN STREET SUITE 1300 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| DAYTON, OH 45402-2023 | | | 3729 | |

DATE MAILED: 11/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Application Number | Application/Control No. | Reexamination | |
|--|--|---|--|
| | 10/804,952 | TANDY, PATRICK W. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Minh Trinh | 3729 | |
| Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC | | | |
| Notice of Panel Decis | ion from Pre-An | neal Brief Review | |
| 1101100 011 01101 20010 | | Soul Biloi Roviov | |
| • | | | |
| This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Re | equest for Review filed 11/2/0 | <u>6</u> . | |
| Improper Request – The Request reason(s): | is improper and a conference | will not be held for the following | |
| ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not bee☐ The request does not include read ☐ A proposed amendment is include☐ Other: | sons why a review is appropri | iate. | |
| The time period for filing a response conti the mail date of the last Office communication | inues to run from the receipt o ation, if no Notice of Appeal h | late of the Notice of Appeal or from as been received. | |
| 2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appe held. The application remains under appe is required to submit an appeal brief in ac brief will be reset to be one month from morunning from the receipt of the notice of a appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1 of the notice of appeal, as applicable. | eal because there is at least on cordance with 37 CFR 41.37. It is a like this decision, or the balic ppeal, whichever is greater. | ne actual issue for appeal. Applicant The time period for filing an appeal ance of the two-month time period Further, the time period for filing of the | |
| The panel has determined the st Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: | | ws: | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration | on: | | |
| 3. ☑ Allowable application – A conferent Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on applicant at this time. | nce has been held. The reject the merits remains closed. N | ion is withdrawn and a Notice of of further action is required by | |
| 4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference action will be mailed. No further action is | | | |
| All participants: | (3)Stephen Ga | orpe My | |

Application/Control No.

Applicant(s)/Patent under

(2) Peter Vo.